The Status Quo Trap		
Traps	Ways Out	
Bias	Force Choosing	
Deep into Psyche	Remind self of	
	objectives	
Avoid taking action	Would you choose	
	status quo if it w ere	
	the alternative?	
Commission versus	Identify options as	
ommission	counterbalances	
Don't rock the boat	Never think status	
	quo is the only	
	alternative	
More choices, more	Avoid exaggerating	
status quo	the effort to switch	
	from the status quo	
Perpetuate status	Consider	
quo	independently before	
	consulting others	
	ſ	

The Sunk	Cost Trap
Traps	Ways Out
Justify past choices	Fresh, unbiased look
Unw illing, conscious	A cknow ledge failure
or not, to admit	
mistake	
Psychological safety	Conscious effort to
	set aside sunk costs,
	w hether
	psychological or
	economic
Escalation of	Seek out & listen
commitment	carefully to the view s
	of people w ho w ere
	uninvolved w ith the
	earlier decisions
Protect earlier,	Examine w hy
flaw ed decisions	admitting to earlier
	mistakes is
	distressing
Corporate culture	Avoid anchoring
forces sunk-cost trap	advisors
0 11	W 11 P 1
Good decisions can	If problem lies in ow n
lead to bad outcomes	self esteem, deal
	w ith it head on
	When in in a hole,
	stop digging Look out for sunk
Manua	
	cost biases Don't culivate a
Crosy	
	failure-fearing culture
-	Look at quality of
	decision making, not
	just outcomes
	,401 0410011100

Challenges Presented by the Human Brain		
Heuristics		
Complexity		
Series & flaw s		
Sensory Misperceptions		
Irrational anomalies		
Pernicious mental phenemenon		
Trauma can distort thinking		

Based on "The Hidden Traps in Decision Making," by Hammond, Keeney, and Raiffa, 2006 Harvard Business Review

The Confirming	Evidence Trap
Traps	Ways Out
Seek out information	Check to see
that supports our	w hether you are
existing instinct or	examing all the
point of view	evidence with equal
	vigor
Avoid information that	Avoid the tendency
contradicts	to accept confirming
	evidence w ithout
	question
Too much w eight to	Get somone to play
supporting	devil's advocate, to
information & too little	argue against the
to conflicting	decision you're
information	contemplating
Tendency to	Build the
subconsciously	counterarguments
decide w hat w e	yourself
w ant	340 d d d
	What's the strongest
	reason to do
	something else? 2nd
	strongest? Third?
	Keep an open mind Be honest with
	yourself about your
CTO	motives
	In seeking advice,
	don't ask leading
	questions
	Find an advisor that
	doesn't alw ays
	suport your point of
	viewno yes-men.
	VICWIIO y co-IIIcii.

The Fram	ning Trap
Traps	Ways Out
Different frames	Don't automatically
establish different	accept the initial
status quos	frame
Frames as gains	Try to re-frame the
versus losses	problem in different
	w ays
Frames adopted as	Try posing the
presented versus re-	problems in a neutal,
stating the problem in	redundant way that
ow n w ay	combines gains and
	losses or combines
	different reference
	points
Framing with	Ask how your
different reference	thinking might change
points	if the framing
	changed
	When others
	recommend
Alleman I	decisions, examine
EVICE	the way they framed
ON	the problem.
	Challenge decision
0	makers with differen
	frames

HIDDEN TRAPS CHEAT SHEET

Review		
The Anchoring Trap		
Traps	Ways Out	
Disproportionate	Be open minded	
Weight to 1st		
Many guises	View problems from	
	different	
Establish Terms	Think through position	
	before negotiation	
Bargaining Tactics	Alternate starting	
	points & approaches	
Picros !	Broaden frame of	
	Avoid anchoring	
	Consider	
	independently before	

T	W 0 1
Traps	Ways Out
Rarely get feedback	Take a disciplined
about accuracy of	approach to making
decisions	forcasts & judging
	probabilities
Mind does not become	Start by considering
calibrated for making	the extremes, the lo
estimates in the face of	& high ends of the
uncertainty	possible range
OVER- CONFIDENCE	Imagine w here the
about accuracy	figure w ould fall
	below your low &
	high and adjust
	accordingly
Set too narrow a range	Challenge the
of possibilities	estimates of
	subordinates and
	advisors
	susceptible to over
	confidence
major decisions hinge	Emphacize need fo
on inaccurate estimates	honest input
OVER - PRUDENCE or	State estimates
CAUTIOUSNESS	honestly and explai
	to anyone w ho ask
Worst case anaylysis	Test estimates the
rror or out of array ry ord	need
Cost benefits outweigh	When in in a hole,
benefit	stop digging
RECALLABILITY	Look out for sunk
strong impression	cost biases
on memory	ooot bladed
on memory	Don't culivate a
	failure-fearing cultur
	ranaro roaring cana.
	Look at quality of
VICTOR	decision making, no
	just
	Empasize need for
	honest input
	Minimize distortion
	caused by variation
	in recallability
	Examine all
	assumptions